

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,866	07/03/2003	Chikako Sekiya	239820US2	3854
22850	7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CROWELL, ANNA M	
	40 DUKE STREET LEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1763	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		:/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/611,866	SEKIYA, CHIKAKO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michelle Crowell	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	larch 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 1763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 20, 2006 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 2, and 6 recite the limitation, "an upper electrode main body forms the plasma region" which is indefinite. It is unclear to the Examiner how the upper electrode main body 32 forms the plasma region. Furthermore, the upper electrode cover 31 is actually exposed to plasma. For purposes of examination, the upper electrode main body is located inside the vacuum vessel.

Claim 6 recites the limitation, "the hole of the upper electrode cover has at least in part a shape complementary to a shape of the hole of the upper electrode cover" which is indefinite. It is unclear to the Examiner what applicant is trying to convey. How can the hole of the upper

Art Unit: 1763

electrode be complementary to itself? For purposes of examination, the hole of the upper electrode cover has at least in part a shape complementary to the window member.

Claim 7 recites the limitation, "an upper electrode cover window member further includes wherein **the hole** has a lower portion having a reduced diameter and an upper portion having an increased diameter" which is indefinite. Is the hole in the upper electrode cover window member of the upper electrode cover? It would be clear to the Examiner if claim 7 included "the hole of the upper electrode cover".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-14, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Masuda et al. (U.S. 2001/0015175).

Referring to Figures 1, 2, and 9, paragraphs [0059]-[0076], Masuda et al. discloses a plasma treatment apparatus and a upper electrode cover for a plasma treatment apparatus comprising: a vacuum vessel 100 that houses an article W to be plasma-treated in a plasma region (par. [0061]); an upper electrode main body 111 that forms the plasma region in the vacuum vessel, the upper electrode main body having formed therein an opening (par. [0063], Fig. 2); an upper electrode cover 115 that is joined to a lower surface of the upper electrode main body and faces the plasma region, the upper electrode cover having formed therein a hole at a

Application/Control Number: 10/611,866 Page 4

Art Unit: 1763

location corresponding to the opening of the upper electrode main body (par.[0063], Fig. 2); and a window member 115C that is made of a transparent member which is a separate body to the upper electrode cover, has a shape insertable into the hole of the upper electrode cover 115, and is retainably and upwardly removably fitted in the hole of the upper electrode cover (par.[0063]-[0064], Fig. 9).

With respect to claim 3, an upper electrode cover further includes that the hole has a lower portion having a reduced diameter and an upper portion having an increased diameter (Fig. 9, shape of 115C).

With respect to claim 4, an upper electrode cover further includes wherein the hole opens into the plasma region (Fig. 9).

With respect to claim 6, referring to Figures 1, 2, and 9, paragraphs [0059]-[0076], Masuda et al. discloses an upper electrode cover window member for a plasma treatment apparatus comprising: a vacuum vessel 100 that houses an article W to be plasma-treated in a plasma region (par. [0061]); an upper electrode main body 111 that forms the plasma region in the vacuum vessel, the upper electrode main body having formed therein an opening (par. [0063], Fig. 2); an upper electrode cover 115 that is joined to a lower surface of the upper electrode main body and faces the plasma region, the upper electrode cover having formed therein a hole at a location corresponding to the opening of the upper electrode main body (par. [0063], Fig. 2); wherein a window member 115C that is made of a transparent member which is a separate body to the upper electrode cover, has a shape insertable into the hole of the upper electrode cover 115, and is retainably and upwardly removably fitted in the hole of the upper electrode cover

Art Unit: 1763

(par.[0063]-[0064], Fig. 9); and the hole of the upper electrode cover has at least in part a shape complementary to a shape of the hole of the upper electrode cover (Fig. 9).

With respect to claim 7, an upper electrode cover window member further includes wherein the hole has a lower portion having a reduced diameter and an upper portion having an increased diameter, and the upper electrode cover window member 115C has a lower portion having a reduced diameter and an upper portion having an increased diameter that can be fitted in the lower portion and upper portion of the hole, respectively (Fig. 9, shape of 115C).

With respect to claim 11, a plasma treatment apparatus further comprising a lower electrode 130 that is provided inside the vacuum vessel 100 and onto which is placed the article W to be plasma-treated, and wherein the upper electrode main body 111 is provided above the lower electrode (par. [0061], [0065], Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 12, a plasma treatment apparatus further comprising: a tubular member 141 that is provided in the vacuum vessel 100 with a lower end thereof inserted in the opening of the upper electrode main body 111, the tubular member having formed therein an inner hole 144 (par.[0073]-[0074]); and a sensor 152 that detects intensity of light for detecting the extent of progress of the plasma treatment of the article to be plasma-treated, and wherein the light passes through the opening of the upper electrode main body, the inner hole of the tubular member, and the window member (pars. [0067],[0074], [0092]-[0093], Figs. 2 & 7).

With respect to claim 13, a plasma treatment apparatus wherein the sensor detects 152 changes in intensity of light reflected by the article to be plasma-treated, the reflected light from the article to be plasma-treated passing through the window member, the inner hole of the

Art Unit: 1763

tubular member, and the opening of the upper electrode main body (pars. [0067], [0074], [0092]-[0093], Figs. 2 & 7).

With respect to claim 14, a plasma treatment apparatus as claimed in claim 13, wherein the sensor 152 emits light through the opening of the upper electrode main body, the inner hole of the tubular member, and the window member into the vacuum vessel (pars. [0067], [0074], [0092]-[0093], Figs. 2 & 7).

With respect to claim 17, a plasma treatment apparatus wherein the opening of the upper electrode main body 111 has a shape different from the shape of the hole of the upper electrode cover 115C (Fig. 9).

With respect to claim 18, a plasma treatment apparatus wherein the inner hole of the tubular member 141 has a shape different from the shape of the hole of the upper electrode cover 115C (Fig 9).

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Masuda et al. (U.S. 2001/0015175).

Referring to Figure 2, Masuda discloses a plasma treatment apparatus wherein the window member (portion of 115 with 115B) is in contact with a lower surface of the tubular member 141 (Fig. 2). Alternatively, as shown in other embodiments, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the window member 115C of Figure 9 to be in contact with the lower surface of the tubular member 141 in order to further reduce the optical transmission path.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,866 Page 7

Art Unit: 1763

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda et al. (U.S. 2001/0015175) in view of Ueda et al. (J.P. 08107102).

The teachings of Masuda et al. have been discussed above.

Masuda et al. fail to teach that the upper electrode cover is made of quartz.

Referring to the abstract, Ueda et al. teaches that it is conventionally known in the art for the upper electrode cover 14 to be made of quartz in order to reduce particle contamination.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the upper electrode cover of Masuda et al. to be made of quartz as taught by Ueda et al. in order to reduce particle contamination.

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda et al. (U.S. 2001/0015175).

Masuda et al. fails to teach the upper electrode cover window member having a lower portion having a reduced diameter, an intermediate portion having an increased diameter, and an upper portion having a reduced diameter; however, the shape of the upper electrode cover window member is considered a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1763

would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular shape of the upper electrode cover window member was significant (In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)).

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda et al. (U.S. 2001/0015175) in view of Okawa et al. (J.P. 2000349070).

US 6,758,941 is used as the English translation for (JP 2000349070).

The teachings of Masuda et al. have been discussed above.

Masuda et al. fail to teach that the upper electrode cover window member is made of quartz.

Referring to column 5, lines 16-22, Okawa et al. teaches the upper electrode cover window member 4C is made of quartz since it is a known transparent material used for plasma monitoring and sapphire is highly resistant to plasma. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the material of the upper electrode cover window member of Masuda et al. to be made of sapphire as taught by Okawa et al. since it is a known transparent material used for plasma monitoring and sapphire is highly resistant to plasma.

11. Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda et al. (U.S. 2001/0015175) in view of Howald et al. (U.S. 6,074,516).

The teachings of Masuda et al. have been discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,866 Page 9

Art Unit: 1763

Masuda et al. fail to teach that the upper electrode cover window member is made of sapphire.

Referring to column 5, lines 17-30, Howald et al. teaches the upper electrode cover window member 302 is made of sapphire since it is a known transparent material used for plasma monitoring and sapphire is highly resistant to plasma. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the material of the upper electrode cover window member of Masuda et al. to be made of sapphire as taught by Howald et al. since it is a known transparent material used for plasma monitoring and sapphire is highly resistant to plasma.

Masuda et al. fail to teach affixing the window member to the upper electrode cover using tape.

Referring to column 6, lines 59-64, Howald et al. teaches affixing the window member to the upper electrode cover using an adhesive. It is conventionally known in the art that tape is an adhesive. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply tape to upper electrode cover of Masuda to affix the window member to the upper electrode cover as taught by Masuda et al. since tape is a conventionally known material used to affix a window member.

## Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Crowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 -6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michelle Crowell
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1763

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763